

The contents of this email are my totally subjective truth and my point of view. I write from inside my head. This is the only place I can write from.

Dear Professor Bungane,

"I like your Christ, but I do not like your Christians. Your Christians are so unlike your Christ"

Mahatma Ghandi

Prof. I am not calling you by this title to ridicule you. I am doing it since you have, in emails to me (more than thrice), defined yourself as a 'prudent scholar'. This is now yourself definition. Here is myself definition; I am just a child of God. Period, (Sorry, faith preachers, I know 'just a child of God' is not good enough for you). I write this letter as a postmodern Prophet. I have also defined myself as a postmodern mystic. It is not easy to be a mystic. You are always going against tradition, against the flow. I will later tell you why you defined yourself as a scholar and not a theologian. As a postmodern mystic, I have fully analysed your emails using the best of my mystical mind, technology, word count, spell check, etc. Mystical spiritual experiences have become a part of my journey and my reality since 17 August 2007. Some friends asked me to ignore your rude email and just go on with my life. I felt led to use my prophetic call to respond to you, so that we can all have hope in a hopeless world. I felt led to defend my new found faith. As a mystic, I sense that there is a deep question within you; **who is this Muzi?**

(until Muzi becomes himself and not a carbon copy of Sponge I will be rude in my discussions with him,)

My response here addresses the type of Christianity represented by people who behave like you do. The attached emails are more disgusting than the disgust you find in people like Bishop Spong and I. You are justifying your rudeness to me by ridiculing Bishop Spong. What if I was a 'carbon copy' of someone you loved? What if I was a carbon copy of your most admired scholar? Would you be rude to me and ridicule him or her? I have empathy with your hatred for Bishop Spong, many traditional Christians find him disgusting. You are basically saying you will be rude to me until I fit in within your definition of me. Do you really have to be rude to me because you can't define me? Does the Christ within you want you to be rude? You have defined yourself as a 'prudent scholar', with a flimsy excuse for not responding to my email. It is not my intention to be rude to you. My intention is to speak the truth in love (not anger and love). The truth will set you free, but it will first deeply wound you, and for that I apologize in advance. Bishop Spong is just a child of God like me. I and He just happen to have the same mystical experiences. We are asking the same questions. Do not direct your vitriolic anger at us. Your anger should be directed at the Distinguished Scholars of the Jesus Seminar (not seminary). These are the Bible scholars who interpret our mystical experiences for us and address inherent contradictions in the Bible (a modern day idol). They make sense of the questions we are asking, and like Christ, they respond to our questions with other questions. They change questions to our traditional answers. We remain with answers, only to discover that the questions have been changed.

I'm a child of God (not a MAN of God). Men of God have all the answers. A child of God has questions only. Wait for the right time. In my world, a scholar is a Professional 'theologian'. A Prudent one would then be a Distinguished Professor. The one other Professors look up to. I now present my paper for marking. As my Distinguished Professor, I have acceded to your request to name the sources of all my quotations, though you did not name your source when you said; **"shame the Devil"**. Everyone knows that this is not original to you. You stole this somewhere and failed to acknowledge but insist that I should acknowledge to Karl Marx, a quote that Karl Marx stole from Aristotle. At least, I do not know where Karl Marx stole it from (since you did not know). I just don't know where Aristotle stole it from. I can know if I want to.

There is a kwaito song that says; **"UMLILO!!! Ungawu lokothi! Uyashisa!!!** (Don't stoke the fire! It will burn you). I humbly requested Pastors last October to leave this emerging tsunami alone. Many of them heeded my call. Many of them are feeling the hovering wind of the rising consciousness. I quoted Prof. (as you have requested). Please mark my thesis now.

For your easy referencing, at the end of my letter, I have attached copies of emails you sent to me in 2008. **"The axe forgets, but the tree just falls and dries up, then pollutes everything!"** - African proverb.

1. REV. MAPHALALA

I think you owe Rev. Maphalala an apology. You do not have to, if you are not convinced. You were not there on my 25th wedding anniversary. You were not there on the 16th of June when Maphalala came to give the context of the 08 May talk. You were not even supposed to receive the email you responded to (as per Cease Molebatsi's humble request). You then, take an email that was not sent to you and insult Maphalala in a very disrespectful manner. You portray him as a gullible old man who cannot make up his own decisions. He needs Muzi to buy him with his 'wealth'. According to you, everything Maphalala said was because I bought his soul with money. Let me include your quote: (broken English, very bad for a FNB "Provincial Executive") verbatim: **the old man Maphalala sometimes get confused and say things that incongruent with himself, let us not blame the old man, we need to blame Muzi who is funding the old man and poor Maphalala can never be able to say anything wrong against Muzi,this Christian today he says he hates....** (He said Christianity - not Christian!). You can do a better sentence construction than this! Maphalala reiterated his stance on the 16th. Should we ask him to reiterate to you? You were not supposed to get involved in this conversation, because, you were not there, period. I hope you find it in your heart to apologize to the old man. Again, I cannot force it on you. It is only the Christ who lives in you who will lead you in the appropriate Christ character.

I suggest you do not use your designation until you learn to do a spell check, sentence construction, a bit of grammar polishing, and re-read your material before you send it. Then and only then will I be convinced of your readiness for a 'war of ideas' debate. Maybe we can then go public. Not with our current IQ. Both of us need to do some some work on our IQ before we go public, don't you think so Professor? Or else media sharks will devour us alive, especially when we use words like, "shame the Devil", in a public discourse. Imagine us fighting over "shame the Devil" on Talk 702? I really would not like to see that happening to you or me. I really do not want to embarrass you in public (though I've copied my sceptic friend John Robbie this email). I hope he does not call you. (I challenged Muzi at any given point in time in Public or Private to expose how bankrupt is his argument) **I honestly do not want to embarrass you!** I am actually the one who is embarrassed by your Christianity. I certainly do not like it. This is the type of Christianity that manifests every time a Christian calls a radio talk show conversation. This is the reason I refuse to have a public debate with you. I know you disagree. You are welcome to seek a second opinion; if you do not trust my humble advice. This will make life much easier for the Professor. I'm not perfect, but I try my level best. Even when I get critiqued, I always sleep well - knowing that I burnt the midnight oil trying. If I, as your student can use a spelling check, why can't you Professor? If I can diligently read and re-read my emails before I distribute them, I think you can also do that. I responded to this mail because it came from an Executive of a very important Bank in our country. I felt I needed to respond; otherwise I would not have wasted my valuable time. The Christ in me would have me respond in this manner.

I have to add that Maphalala is not the only person who has suffered this indignity. Jackson Khosa, my friend, Spiritual Director, and mentor of the past 27 years, has also been labelled one who **can never be able to say anything wrong against Muzi.....** Because, Muzi has bought his soul with money, even buys him cars! Others

who have decided to befriend with me (in this strange road less travelled I got into since 17 August 2007) have suffered the same fate. They have been called all sorts of names, marginalized, ostracized, etc.

2. EMAIL SENT ON OCTOBER 2008

(I told Muzi that he is suffering from what some of us suffered from some 20 years ago, that is NAKS, Newly Acquired Knowledge Syndrome. It is dangerous to acquire new information once you have reached the age above 40, because it makes you behave like a kid)

I do not have beliefs. I am a mystic. Mystic know, believers believe; because they do not know, that is the reason they believe. This brings us into serious trouble with Christians holding beliefs. I am fully aware that you still can't get it Prof. It has been like this since you heard of my mystical experience of August 2007. You sent me a vicious email after I released my booklet in August 2008. I was celebrating the first anniversary of my spiritual encounter and decided to put together a free booklet to tell my story. You responded by sending me a vicious email threatening to expose me over things I told you in confidence in 2004 re; my struggles with the Mpumalanga politicians. You ridiculed my shower encounter and likened it to President Zuma's shower incident. Just because I came open with my beliefs you were so angry that you asked me to stop or you would 'expose' me. You also threatened to expose my 'liquor drinking' while I was with you at Telkom. You also said you were going to tell Pastors that I stole Telkom's contract, and blah-blah-blah. Why do Pastors not Google **Muzi Cindi** on the internet make own findings? I asked you to forge ahead with your intentions as long as that would give you peace in your soul. The thrust of your response was over a spiritual encounter I had after reading Richard Dawkins' book. **ALL THIS OVER A BOOK!** - Prof?

You went on to attack Richard Dawkins with a long email, and I had to correct you by saying I am not him, address your misgivings to him. You then expressed yourself interest desire to debate Richard Dawkins. Really! Really Professor!!! **Professor Richard Dawkins?** (I copied my friend this email) I just know that He is now very tired of the many Christian Professors He has debated with (Richard; please consider our Prof, here). You are still furious over a spiritual encounter happening after reading Richard Dawkins book, because Richard is an aggressive atheist and your Christ **CANNOT** instruct an atheist to write, NO! Not an atheists like Richard. You mind cannot just accept this, so you have had to define my encounter in demonic terms so that you can be at peace. Most of my critics do that. Religious people did the same to Jesus, remember? I fully understand the name calling. My critics have to define me to be at peace; otherwise they won't sleep at night. Please! Do not ask me to deny my experiences. They are undeniable! Just like your experiences are undeniable. Gives me space to talk about ME - I will tell you a lot about ME - just give me space in your heart. All I request is space to tell the story of my journey. I ask 'prudent scholars', like you to, give me answers to the questions I have.

I then copied you an email intended for Alexander Venter in October 2008. I did not have to copy you this email but did it out of my generosity as a fellow brother. You responded emotionally through the emails attached below. You then sent Alexander an email requesting to be a part of a meeting where I was going to discuss my dispute with him. You did not even know Alexander and have never seen him till this day. You send an email to Alexander; and request a person I have just introduced to you via email! You are requesting **HIM** to allow **YOU** to come to a meeting I arranged and copied **YOU**. Where is your integrity in this Prof? You requested Alexander to arrange a Public debate with me to... "[expose how bankrupt is his argument](#)".. People are entitled to their subjective beliefs and I am not in the business of; "[expose how bankrupt is his argument](#)"..... as you indicated in your email to Alexander. You then inform him about the "millions" you have and how you are prepared to use them to fight **Muzi** (or expose **Muzi** as you said later).

(God has blessed me with millions I can fund that forum for public debate we need to talk..., if we can delegate that to someone to run with the logistics and I will fund that from the monetary point of view)

I never responded as the email was not addressed to me. If it was, I would have declined for obvious IQ reasons I've already stated. Secondly, I would have declined because my quest is in telling my story and not debating people's stories. My quest is in listening to people tell me stories about their journeys as I expect them to listen as I tell my story. I have done that in Thailand, China, Malaysia, India, Japan, and many other places, since my 2007 encounter. My life was irrevocably changed by the stories I heard. I came back and renounced my religion as the absolute one. This made you very emotional and angry, over your idol.

You advised me to read other scholars. I have been an evangelical for forty years. In those forty years (of my evangelical wilderness), I read everything on evangelicalism. I read this **book of books** called 'The Bible', frontwards and backwards. I read it with intense passion as a Pastor, as you know. I became conversant with evangelical apologetics. I read and learned to defend the tenets of evangelicalism. Which other scholars should I then read Prof? Who should actually read other scholars, between and you I?

You kept on insisting that Bishop Spong was 'a homosexuality' (instead of a homosexual) even after I corrected your misconception. You are still insisting it even on the latest email. You said, "I owe it to my eleven year old daughter and five year old son to stop Muzi". You said this in 2008. Your daughter is thirteen (a teenager) now, I suppose, and your son is now seven. It seems like they are under threat due to **Muzi's** teachings. **Muzi** won't stop writing his 'so called books' Prof. Like 'faith movement' preachers you know, He will write more and more books. By the time your kids go to University, **Muzi** may have written ten books, maybe. How can this be averted Prof? **Muzi** is not good for your generation. This is quite serious as you have repeated it in the recent email; **it is time that we expose Muzi for what he is now...we owe it to our kids...to our generation... we cannot sit back and allowed to be fed by Muzi** How are you going to do it? How will you keep them from being poisoned by **Muzi**? Are you going to build special schools, colleges, and even a University, like American Christians, to reassure them? Will you, maybe, even consider a TV station, a radio station, a book shop, and a separate mall for them? Are you going to send them to some ghetto island to keep them away? Are you going to ban them from any internet cafe where they may access **Muzi's** website? How are you going to stop **Muzi**? I just wonder - having studied church history. The only way to quell your deep seated fears and anger will be stop **Muzi** and silence him, so that your kids won't 'be fed by **Muzi**'.

I have defined myself as a nonconformist. Nonconformity, in the eyes of those who see themselves as orthodox, encourages heresy, and heresy always means trouble for the heretic. One of your complaints was; "I challenge his writing ability, his intellectual understanding of issues, plagiarism, his ability to advance his argument without regagitating what other people are saying". (Did you mean; regurgitating?)

3. THE RECENT EMAIL

This is the one Thabo sent you unlawfully. The one you then used for yourself interest. Bishop Spong (not Sponge) is 79 years old. In line with our African tradition (and like all human beings), I think He deserves to be treated with utmost respect, regardless of his beliefs. In my previous emails to you, I requested you to respect him, but you keep on calling him by that ridiculing name - Sponge. Some call him Spook, Sping, Spongie, etc. They then call us little spongies. These are people who claim Christ-likeness. Bishop Spong consecrated Archbishop Tutu when He first became Bishop in 1976. I hope this information will help in your silly, biased judgment of Bishop Spong. Read about it in; <http://www.muzicindi.net/?q=node/7>. Archbishop Tutu has learnt from him to fight for the rights of people called homosexuals (not **homosexuality** as you called it

before). I'm amazed that you prefer to call Bishop Spong "a homosexuality" (to use your *sic* language), but you do not do that to Archbishop Tutu when He does exactly the same activism as Bishop Spong. You are allowed to differ vehemently with Bishop Spong. At least, award him the respect you would want to be awarded the day you are his age. Do unto him what you would like done to you. I think you owe Bishop Spong an apology (only if you are convicted). I copied him this response as you can see, so that He can also see how Africans think of him. He has a high regard for African ubuntu (Bishop Spong; you were wrong and generalizing on African ubuntu). Maybe He will respond (don't bet on it) and explain to you that He is not 'a homosexuality', but just happens to be compassionate to homosexuals as modern day lepers; he is just being compassionate to those whose humanity is diminished by religion and religious books. Do you really have to treat a person, whose beliefs you differ with, this way Prof? Is this Christ like?

"not quoting Bishop Spong and all other homosexual inclined Bishop as authority used to dismiss the Gospel of Jesus Christ", - Please, read this again! "I deliberately shied away from discussing the contents". You deliberately shy away from the main issue (and still call yourself a 'prudent scholar') to engage in slander. Like you did in the previous emails, you are lobbying Pastors to gang up against me. Are you going to be there when this tsunami hits them and they fall apart like humpty dumpty's? What will you do when Pastors cannot stop bleeding? Will you be there when they spend sleepless nights over what you 'pushed' them to do? You use the weapon of guilt on them by saying; "I blame some of our pastors for not speaking up against this thing, this is no time to entertain this and laugh about it in corridors, Muzi is attacking the real basis of our faith and it is not a matter of laughing this is serious, and we need to stand up with anger but show love to a person of Muzi".

LEAVE PASTORS ALONE! Let them go through their journeys alone. They, like you, entered this universe alone. This email is taking you through hell, **ALONE**. It is good medicine. It is bitter but it heals. Don't use mafia tactics on Pastors. Let them experience God their own way. Don't use power, control and guilt on them. They will turn back on you. **Watch out!** We are all on a journey. No one fully knows what the future holds. A study of church history will give you some hints. The developments in technology will give you some hints. I will, like you will, look back at this email and one day thank God I wrote it to you. Don't control anything. Leave all to God and your soul will be at rest!

You owe Pastors and apology. You owe the Evangelicals Leaders who came on the 16th an apology. You are saying they are stupid. They cannot reason with **Muzi's** 'intelligence'. They cannot engage **Muzi**. They are gullible. This is the reason why **Muzi** invited them and left the "prudent scholar" out. According to you, I invited only those I could easily intimidate because I am afraid of your views. What pride Prof!

"you decided to exclude me from your mailing list, is it because you are afraid that the views I have against you will find resonance in the minds of so many people that you try to convict with your theories.....

Thabo did not tell you that a core task team was formed to take the June 16 Conversation further. A further conversation / symposium, has been scheduled for **24 September 2010**. You are invited, as a prudent scholar, come debate with REAL SCHOLARS. I am just a child of God; so, I will just be sitting there listening to scholars respond to questions raised by a child of God. I just raise questions; that is my sin to Evangelical Christians.

You then use my favourite gaffe; 'stand up with anger but show love' I often say that a person who talks like this is a kind of a person who buys me my favourite vanilla ice-cream, then mixes it with manure before He offers it to me, then expects me to enjoy the ice-cream (got this from Tony Campolo). It is like saying; God hates sin but loves the sinner / holy anger/ righteous indignation, and all that crap that justifies behaviour that is unlike Christ; (until **Muzi becomes himself and not a carbon copy of Spong I will be rude in my discussions with him**.) The former justifies the latter. I feel sorry for you. I really do.

What is your obsession with Muzi? **HERE'S A MUZI SONG:** **Muzi** these are not your words ; **Muzi** tell the truth; **Muzi** to come out ; expose **Muzi** for what he is ; we cannot sit back and allowed to be fed by **Muzi**; **Muzi** is attacking; stand up with anger but show love to a person of **Muzi**; until **Muzi** becomes himself; do not live the life of **Sponge Muzi**; South Africa needs **Muzi** (really); that **Muzi** in the 1980s, (you won't see him again!); this is a **Muzi** we know; this is a **Muzi** we want; **Muzi** is propagating; we need to blame **Muzi** who is funding the old man; Maphalala can never be able to say anything wrong against **Muzi**; **Muzi** is accusing other pastors; we will forever blame **Muzi** (FOREVER?: this is how eternal hell evolved). You love former **Muzi ONLY!**

If I was still where you are now, I would say you are possessed by a demon. But now I say you are just a psycho Prof. **Muzi** has now become a "sacrifice lamb" in the Evangelical church, thanks to you. You are on a journey Prof. Your journey will one day widen up your worldview, I pray. A friend (Nic Paton) told me this; **people who are on a journey (but are not aware that they are) do not want to be told, by those who are on a journey (and know they are), that they are on a journey.** Read it again. One day you will say it like Thabo; "I was blind but now I see". You will be seeing with a new kind of seeing. When you do see, your perception of God will be changed forever. You were blind but know you see. I agree with you Thabo. May I suggest you are still blind (another kind of blindness) and soon, you will say it again; I was blind but now I see; soon Thabo. Soon, very soon!

4. THE CHRISTIAN GOD (THEO'S)

Up to today **Muzi** there is no universally acceptable definition of God, there scientists have been telling us that, the Atheist are telling us, the Naturalist are telling us that and the Bible told us that long time ago, " My thoughts are Higher than Thoughts" (My apology for quoting the God of the Old Testament) now wena **Muzi** just because you were liberated in 2007 you now claim you want to define this God and debate the concept and tell us that this is a GOD and this is not a GOD, how cheek of you to do that when evryone else have failed,

You are angry at me for renouncing the Christian 'God'. Besides being tribal to the core; this 'God' can't forgive! He can forgive vile murderers. He can forgive the most heinous of sins. He can't forgive heresies' like **Muzi's**. He can even **FORGIVE THE DARKEST OF SINS....EXCEPT!!!....** He can forgive anything...except a person who uses his mind to think. You see Prof, I can tell you a lot about the Christian 'God' and His Bible. He has a point of view (the bible). He hates people who think contrary to His point of view. He is a thinking 'God'. He does not tolerate people who think differently. He cannot forgive me for thinking what I think about him. He cannot forgive me for using my mind to think about him! But, He can forgive anything else. I got an email from a fundamentalist Christian, quoting Bible verses that are saying to me; that the Christian 'God' won't forgive me for my heresy (embracing evolution) as I have sinned against the Holy Spirit by doing that, and so on, and so on. **THE INERRANT BIBLE SAYS SO!** I do no fault her for saying this. Christians always respond like this. They can't respond otherwise. I fault the bible writers. The "**Bible says - The Bible says**" brigade is now on the march! I daily receive emails from Christians, telling how 'God' will never forgive me for the things I say. How I have to repent and avoid 'God's anger that will befall me. Fear (not love) – is the Christian 'God's most potent weapon. I have not used "The Bible says" tactic here. It is **Muzi** speaking here Prof.

I challenged **Muzi** at any given point in time in Public or Private to expose how bankrupt is his argument. I do not hate **Muzi**, he does not hate me, but he knows that I hate his views and I dare challenge him to discuss this with him in a public platform and I am still waiting for the response.

HOW DO YOU SEPARATE A SINNER FROM THE SIN? You are just repeating a belief system the Christian religion taught me and you Prof! You and I were taught a false belief system. It is impractical. It is a contradiction Prof. It then continues to say; **God and his word are one.** You cannot separate God from his

word (view). How do you then separate **Muzi** from his word (view)? **You love God by liking his view (bible). You hate Muzi by hating his views.** Here is the most interesting contradiction on the Christian 'God': The Christian 'God' expects me to forgive everything, but He can't forgive everything! How does the Christian 'God' expect me to forgive when; **HE CAN'T FORGIVE CARDINAL HERESY?** As you know by now, I am a highly heretical person. I am a self confessed one. All mystics are heretics; it has always been like this since.

HOW DE WE, HERETICS, EXPECT CHRISTIANS TO FORGIVE US - WHEN THEIR 'GOD' CANNOT?

Is this fair to Christians, or, to us, Devil, or even The Christian 'God'? I can't do anything else but embrace evolution. It is my experience and I cannot deny my experiences. I am honest! This is my authentic self speaking (**not Spong!**). I can no longer behave normally, believing in talking snakes (with legs), talking donkeys, virgins that give birth, people who just take off into infinite space, etc. There was a time when I accepted these beliefs without question. My cyberspace mind can no longer accept this. My heart cannot worship a God rejected by my increasingly scientific mind. I am just being honest. I can no longer worship a 'God' who is said to be **OMNI-SCIENCE (ALL SCIENCE)** but is opposed to modern science. Not after I discovered that science means knowledge. I just cannot. That is me. Do whatever you like with what I am telling you. I do not expect you to forgive me for pain caused by the contents of this mail. **On what bible basis should I expect you, to forgive me, when your 'God' cannot forgive me?** I however, forgive you for the pain you caused me. I hope you as a 'prudent scholar' can solve the above puzzle for me; then I will worship again.

GOD HATES DIVORCE! I think you knew this when you sent me the email requesting divorce papers from me. The (hating) Christian 'God' (the idol Christians call God) hates divorce, yet in the bible belt of the USA the divorce rate amongst Christians is higher than amongst 'unbelievers'. Is divorce a realistic possibility in our universal cosmology? In a universe that is one? There is no such thing called divorce in this universe Prof. Ask any divorced person, they will tell you. You never completely divorce yourself from oneness. Divorced people know this truth very well, go ask them. Even the biblical mythical Hosea knew this very well. I am not saying this because I am divorced. I am saying it because I am a mystic Prof. Can Christ 'divorce' himself into two?

NO, the Christian church is anti-science - so psychologists are shunned. Just think of how Psychologists would help, in at least reducing the 'divorce' rate in the Church. In 2006 I observed these developments and asked questions; what is right and wrong inside the Church of my upbringing? I had questions that were forbidden in Church. I and millions of people around the world were asking these deep questions in 2006 when Richard Dawkins released his book - **THE GOD DELUSION**. This book came because its time had arrived. I think Christ instructed Richard to write the book! I thank God for the gift that Richard Dawkins has become to our world. It was about time. There is time for everything. **Jesus said we cannot read the time!** This is exactly where the Church is at the moment. I bought the book because I wanted answers that I could not get inside Christianity. On 17 August 2007 I found the answer. That encounter has led to a chain of moments (including this mail) that are continuing and will continue into a land that Abraham was told to go to. Like mythical Abraham, I am just responding to the call; the call to leave the Gods of my Fathers; the call to go into a land unknown; the call to take the road less travelled by. I have left, and am on my way to the Promised Land. I've just realized I am not alone on this road. At this moment, I have a lot of friends travelling with me. It is no longer as lonely as it was when I first took it. I am on my way to meet **"THE GOD BEYOND"** the God's of men and women. Thank God for atheists! May they continue to make this world a better place for the coming generations! May they continue to answer the questions we are asking. Here's some good advice Prof:

"If you have a false idea of God; the more religious you are, the worse it is for you. It were better for you to be an atheist" ...Kenneth Leech

5. THE CHRISTIAN IDOL

May I go back to: [anniversary where this nonsense was propagated, I was not aware of the June 16 events that you organised Muzi.](#)(read it again!). You were not there but have maliciously judged everything already. You should know, as "a prudent scholar", that Emperor Constantine made Christianity a religion of the Roman Empire in AD 325. As a scholar, you should know about what happened at the Council of Nicaea. Scriptures were then canonized into a book we now call 'The Bible' (a postmodern idol). I fully understand the anger that has erupted over an encounter that came after reading an atheistic book. Many well meaning leaders have tried to call me aside to streamline this encounter into how Christianity has boxed God and Jesus Christ. They have my empathy as they are not aware that the Christian 'God' had evolved into an idol that definitely had to die in order for humanity to have a future. Christianity as it is today, and its Christian 'God' have to die before resurrection can happen. Christianity has to die so that we all can have a bright future. I recently got an email from someone who thinks like you Prof. It reads, "STOP TALKING ABOUT GOD! YOU AND US ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT THE SAME GOD. I fully agreed with the email except for the word 'STOP'. How about; Continue; talking about God; though YOU and US do not seem to see things differently. In Africa we were taught to respect each other's ancestors until missionaries came and introduced us to '**The Jealous One**'.

[\(you want to try hard to understand this God and in your failure to get answers then you want to compartmentalise Him and put Him in a Box and take that you want and live that you do not want\).](#) So, I don't have that right Prof?

The death of the status quo Christian religion should not be a bad thing. The Christian world is disintegrating because the Christian story on which it is based has lost its power. Indeed, Christianity has created a meaningful world for those living within it. The Christian Religion had a beginning (325 AD as you know Prof.), and like everything, it has to have an ending. It had its Alpha - and like everything - its Omega has come. The Christian idol (God) had a beginning and like everything God has to have an ending. I fully understand the religious anger elicited by the above. Some will find my views quite disgusting, just like I find your views disgusting Prof. It is me - Prof! Not Spong. A mere thousand years ago, we were in bondage to the Orthodox Church. Five hundred years ago we were in bondage to the Catholic Church. Today, we are in bondage to the Protestant church. Hope no one will say this about what is emerging, five hundred years from today.

My charge against Christianity is: **1.** Boxing and entombing The Ultimate Reality I have defined as the un-nameable [&^%R&\\$^E@EEW\)\(*&^%\\$](#) (The Ancient of Days). **2.** Christianity has used 'The Inerrant Bible', historic creeds, manmade vocabulary, human language, etc to define the un-nameable [&^%R&\\$^E@EEW\)\(*&^%\\$](#). It is human to do that Prof. I just can't resist the temptation to quote Spong (sorry Prof. - I fully know how you find the man disgusting, many do also): "***If horses could talk God would be a horse!***" I just can't resist quoting Spong! Sorry! He has become my spiritual and intellectual mentor (it is me speaking now!). **3.** Boxing and owning Christ (Mathew Fox calls him The Cosmic Christ). Christianity has used the 'Christ for us and Christ in us' emblems to domesticate Christ into a religious idol for too long. For this reason, like my spiritual father Maphalala; I do not like what Christianity has done to the un-nameable [&^%R&\\$^E@EEW\)\(*&^%\\$](#) and to Christ. Christianity has boxed Christ inside manmade belief systems. Christians then turned back to say; we are in Christ (a manmade box). We then got terminology defining people as true Christians, nominal Christians, and secular Christians etc. This separation is created to defend atrocities done in the name of Christianity. Prof; you are a true Christian until some atrocity is committed by you. The defence then is; those were not true Christians. They were true Christians until they committed some embarrassing acts like Iraq wars and get voted out. Those who agree with me on this letter I have written to you; are true Christians Prof!

6. CHRISTIAN BELIEFS

Christian **beliefs** have immensely edified a greater part of my spiritual journey. I embraced them without questioning their origins or truthfulness. You tested me on these **beliefs** in the attached email of 2008. It was only when I got exposed to quantum science, cyberspace, a global cosmology, and my travels around the world that I began to doubt these **beliefs**. No wonder I advise; **do not go where I've been!** These **beliefs** were in conflict with the scientific cosmology I was living and working in. They ceased to make sense. I could no longer pretend. I could no longer afford to split my mind in two; one mind for biblical claims and another for a scientific universe. I began to search for the origins of these **beliefs**. Here is what I found out: In the early twentieth century, some Christians, eventually described as fundamentalists, imposed a series of fundamental **beliefs** essential to being a Christian, including a **belief** in the literal truth of scripture, the virgin birth, the second coming, and substitution atonement. Those who affirm these **beliefs** are Christians; and, those who don't; are not. You are welcome into Christianity when you **believe** the five fundamentals; **you are not welcome if you don't**. It does not matter to them that it was just fearful theologians, who put these fundamentals together, and only in 1910; in reaction to Charles Darwin's scientific findings. (Now you see the link between Darwin and the death of theology Prof). NO! You just have to **believe** them or else you are out! It is called a Christianity of **beliefs** and creeds. **Beliefs** seem more important than Christ-likeness. Many Christians say you have to be a 'believer' or embrace **beliefs** to be Christ-like. Is it true Prof? I disagree Prof.

Your Christianity is one of **beliefs**, and not the way of Christ. Your rudeness and your vicious 'holy' anger is enough evidence Prof. (I know what God has done for me, it is not a theology, it is not the religion it is called **belief**.) **Beliefs** are deadly, and can lead to a dangerous intolerance Prof. I know it from experience. When I was still imprisoned by them I found myself behaving towards others in ways that I never dreamt of doing. I consider myself a naturally mild mannered person. I was shocked to see **beliefs** transform me into a religious maniac who was absolutely convinced of the rightness of my **beliefs**. My **beliefs** offered me security in a very insecure world. When people messed up with my **beliefs** I got very angry and felt that I and my family were under threat. Are you aware that **beliefs** have become a source of religious and political wars over the past 8000 years of human history? **Read the bible Prof;** The Apostle Paul even cursed those who differed with his **beliefs**. He called them dogs; Prof. Paul claimed Jesus 'revealed' these **beliefs** to him! Was Paul lying; Prof? Many religious people have killed for **beliefs**. I questioned your anger in 2008. I still question your anger in 2010. The anger will continue to manifest until you are delivered from **beliefs**. Over the past three years I've observed **beliefs** arouse 'holy' anger in believers. They often do not know how to handle the 'holy' anger aroused by these **beliefs**. They often find contradictions in reconciling it with love.

No wonder your appeal to Pastors; **we need to stand up with anger but show love**. Only a demonic deity can be behind this talk Prof, not Christ. Anyone who dares to talk bad about this idol must be dragged before church tribunals and be punished. **I don't like this type of Christianity Prof!** The Christianity I'm observing on a daily basis is one that is judgemental, lacks compassion and kindness, and lacks the Spirit of Christ. It is actually a Christianity manifesting an angry God. Christian beliefs always lead to anger, and that anger is always justified by Christians as being a human condition. The Christian 'God' has a hell for Moslems (pagans) while Allah has a hell for Christians (infidels) Prof. (thanks to sceptic friend Khandani Msibi for this).

So, now you see where Maphalala's 'poison' comes from. It was not money that 'poisoned him'. It was this knowledge. This 'poison' does not kill Prof. Your kids won't die but live when they drink it. Fear not Prof; Trust. You affirmed that you were a fundamentalist here;

(according to Paul Enns he defines Fundamentalism as a terminology used to “identify one holding to the five fundamentals of the faith adopted by the General assembly of the Presbyterian Church of the USA in 1910, these five fundamentals were the miracles of Christ, the virgin birth of Christ, the substitutionary atonement of Christ, the bodily resurrection of Christ and the inspiration of the Scriptures”. This is a definition of a Fundamentalist, now please ensure that when you use this word you use it in a correct concept)

When I took you on, and questioned the state of your fundamentalism you just affirmed, you got angry with ‘holy’ anger and accused me of calling you a fundamentalist here;

(Once again you accuse me of name calling but you are also guilty of that, all I am doing **Muzi** is to oppose your views, if my opposing your views is tantamount to be called the Fundamentalist then I will gladly take that title, but that will not deter me from opposing your views)

I wish I could avoid this quote from Spong, but I can't: *Christianity has given us a God who caused the death of his son, the damnation of disbelievers, the subordination of women, the bloody massacre of the Crusades, the terror of judgement, the wrath toward homosexuals, and the justification of slavery. The Christian God chooses some of the world's children while rejecting others. He is the Father of wrath, the father of male ordination and female submission, the father of literal and spiritual slavery.*

7. CHRISTIANITY WITHOUT GOD (THEO'S)

On 17 August 2007 I woke up to a realization that Christianity as I understood it was a lie (myth). Christianity became a logical contradiction to me. Christianity required me to believe things that were now unbelievable and tricky. Christianity made me internalize **beliefs** I was embarrassed to confess to thinking people. Thinking scientific people listen to educated people make unbelievable claims, shake their heads, and just walk away from Christianity. I realized suddenly that this Christianity was actually a pagan religion founded by Constantine. I later read Frank Viola and George Barna's new book, *Pagan Christianity*. I then discovered the value of myth in religion. I discovered that all religions were created to survive on myth and not truth. This realization came through the shower incident you ridicule so much. I then dug deep into the scholars you despise so much, to discover the bad news; that the Christian faith in all of its forms is in big trouble. As I discovered more; I went to Rwanda where I met and befriended Brian McLaren, who gave me the good news; that the Christian faith is pregnant with new possibilities. What are these possibilities Brian was talking about? Could Christianity be really pregnant with possibilities? What are they? I was then led to discover my new mentor, who gave me answers to these questions. Professor Lloyd Geering (a real one Prof.), in his most insightful book, ***Christianity without God***, opened my eyes to the possibilities that are there for Christianity. I began to understand that Christianity will definitely evolve without this evil monstrous ‘God’ (Theo's) Prof. My eyes were opened further. As the song goes, I sang it, *'I can see clearly now the rain is gone'*. The future of Christianity Prof; is with The God we meet in Jesus Christ. My eyes were opened further Prof. A real revelation came Prof! HA! HA! LOVE GOD WITH YOUR WHOLE MIND! HA! Prof! **LOVE GOD WITH MY MIND!**

Who is now this God that Jesus came to introduce to us Prof? The God I meet in Jesus is the one I am allowed to love with my mind. My mind is the greatest gift I have; the Divine “nous”; *the imago Dei*; the image of God residing within our brains. So, it was the imago Dei that made Richard Dawkins to write his book, *The God Delusion*? It was too much to comprehend. I then discovered that Ludwig Feuerbach (1804 – 1872) was the one who first conceded that the mind (spirit) is the highest and noblest aspect of humanity, giving rise to ideas, thoughts, science, philosophy, and even religion. I then enquired further. I had a visitation (brain seizure) that made me visit a Neurologist. He told me God lived inside my brain, God is not external to me.

I bought books by more neuroscientists, I was fascinated by this. They taught me that my concepts of spirit and God are derived from the mechanics of my brain. They taught me it was a process that began with the human adaptive evolution. Karen Armstrong then came into my life and taught me that God is 'beyond every assertion' and 'beyond every denial'. She taught me that, it is as inaccurate to say that God 'exists' as to it is to say God 'does not exist'.

Our human language is not adequate to express the reality we call 'God'. Even Thomas Aquinas (1225 – 1274) suggested that both reason and revelation (including my encounter) cannot tell us anything about God. So, what do I do? I then went to Jesus. Jesus said to me; **LOVE GOD WITH YOUR WHOLE MIND!** Is this not what got Jesus in trouble Prof? So, the God I meet in Jesus does not really get offended by what I think as He thinks through me. He does not feel blasphemed – we are the ones who feel blasphemed. He does not have a mind but ours. He does not have hands but our hands. He does not have a voice but ours. God used our hands to make ships, planes, computers, modern technology, and all that make life good and abundant. **We are the image of the invisible God!** What a revelation Prof? Think about it! **WE! GOD IS US! WE ARE GOD! WE-GOD CREATED THE WORLD Prof. WE are still creating the world. We will continue to create a better world for the generations that will be living 5000 years from today. They will live in a better world than this one!** This is the mystery Prof, that made our forefathers look at the historical Jesus and shout - **JESUS IS GOD!!!**

(OH! By the way.....I am a mystic! I nearly forgot. I have to withdraw what I just said above; otherwise I will be in trouble with my fellow mystics. They will say I don't respect the mystical tradition. They will say I have just committed the sin of idolatry, I just condemned Christianity for. It happens so easy and it is so human Prof. Human beings cannot define God. Human beings can ONLY experience God. There is no human vocabulary to define God (maybe tongues only). Human beings can only play the game I just played. I just realized that I've just named the un-nameable [&^%R&\\$^E@EEW\)\(*&^%\\$](#); My apologies; fellow mystics. Let us get back to Jesus.....but...before I get back to Jesus Prof. Let me agree with Prof Richard Dawkins; that the God defined by human beings, reading 'Holy Books' in human language; DOES NOT EXIST. Prof Dawkins just has to explain to me; the un-nameable [&^%R&\\$^E@EEW\)\(*&^%\\$](#) I experienced on 17 August 2007 and have been experiencing in many-many mystical experiences since then; NOT a 'G-D' THAT CAN BE BELIEVED BUT A G-D THAT CAN BE EXPERIENCED. I do not believe in G-d! ... I experience G-d all the time! ...again...am I being fair to Richard? How can I expect Richard to explain to me; my totally subjective experience? ...explain the unknowable unknown! Prof! ...Back to Jesus as God)

JESUS AS GOD

Why did they call Jesus God Prof? Who is this God that they met in Jesus? Is He not the one who embraced the marginalized, mixed with prostitutes, embraced lepers like Spong embraces homosexuals? He was seen with sinners and tax collectors. He ate with sinners. He was found in smoke filled rooms. He identified with the common people and ate with them. He ran the risk of living and loving. He was open and honest. He was his authentic self. He earned his living as a carpenter. His close associates were social outcasts, unclean people, and common fishermen. He was ridiculed by religious people like you Prof. He was in conflict with the religious hierarchy. The asked, "Why does your master eat with tax collectors and sinners" (Matt. 9:9-13). He said; **"I came for the filthy, not for religious people"**. Read it yourself Prof. They could not take it Prof. He was arrested, tried, sentenced, tortured, and eventually crucified like a criminal Prof.

I then read Brandon Manning's book Prof. He says that men and women who are truly filled with light are those who have gazed deeply into the darkness of their imperfect existence. This is where the 'liquor drinking' at Telkom comes from Prof. I am a very holy angel with an incredible capacity for Jack Daniels whisky Prof.

I love my Jack Daniels Prof. My wife always warns me not to overindulge. I obey my wife, even though **THE BIBLE SAYS** she is the one who should obey me. Now back to Jesus...again... Out of his suffering and pain emanated power, love, and life such as the world has never known. In 1979 I became aware I was on a journey with Jesus. We call this 'born again' in evangelicalism. I heard that other faiths like; Jews, Moslems, Hindus, African traditionalists and other religions use a different lingo. I have said all this; to explain this Prof:

The Christian God is not the God we meet in Jesus. The God I meet in Jesus will embrace homosexuals the same way Jesus embraced lepers. The God I meet in Jesus will embrace atheists, agnostics, prostitutes, sceptics, the doubtful, the wounded, and all those who are currently marginalized by the Christian religion today. Jesus would not diminish their humanity but would help them ascend into a new consciousness. The Christian 'God' is a caricature of the God we meet in Jesus Christ. The Christian God has moods alternating between grace and anger. He does a lot of bad things including what I mentioned earlier. Like Pharaoh in Egypt, the Christian God has enslaved many Christians. There is now deep groaning over the state of Christianity. Everyone is groaning....groaning....groaning! Like it was in ancient Egypt Prof; The un-nameable [&^%%R&\\$^E@EEW\)\(*&^%%\\$](#) has come to deliver His people from the Christian God. I know how uncomfortable and unsettling this is, to many traditional Christians. For that I offer my humble apologies. Here I stand! I cannot do otherwise!

The day my Evangelical brothers and sisters discover how much the "inerrant Bible" has lied to them is the day their great exodus from the 'Egyptian' slavery of this Bible will begin. That will be the day of the long walk to freedom for my Evangelical tribe. Here's a useful guide to help you understand where Christianity is at this moment in its history; take the Bible, everywhere you see the word Pharisees, substitute that with Christians and see how the picture looks like. One Emerging Church leader has said it this way; **"we first humbly seek God. Then we humbly speak of God. Soon, though, we proudly speak of God...and then, we arrogantly speak as if we were God"**. This is where many Church leaders are today. Have you watched TBN lately? This is slavery worse than Moses' Egyptian slavery.

8. WHY I AM STILL HERE?

Your friend (Thabo) who forwarded an email He was requested not to forward, sent me this message; **"your emerging church theory is nonexistent to me and it will never be"**. What kind of English is this? Read his whole letter below! OR; maybe I am wrong! Maybe you guys (as banking executives) wrote this pathetic English deliberately to test me. Just maybe - I give you the benefit of doubt. But, why distribute your emails to others? Maybe I would understand if this letter was sent to me only. Maybe this is the reason the biblical writers said there are sins that are unforgivable. Please Guys!!! OR, maybe, you phoned your PA or secretary and asked them to pen emails and send them to me (before you could read them). Maybe I'm wrong (sorry PA). Maybe the PA would have polished these emails with better English. Maybe my PA wrote this English for me – HA! HA! HA! Sister PA! Also, how do I respond to this when there are more than five million internet hits addressing the emerging church, awakening, new spirituality etc? Just Google emerging church!

But, why do I have to teach bank executives these things? **(emerging church theory is nonexistent)** When Evangelical scholars are resigning over the impact of the Emerging Church? When Evangelical Seminaries are closing down over this? Most academic Universities are replacing; 'The School of Theology' with 'The School of Religion?' **"THEO" is no more guys!** In my opinion Prof; 'The School of Theology' is *twentieth century*

ignorance and twenty first century nonsense. This is my humble subjective opinion (I know to some, it is too much opinion). 'The School of Religion' will become nonsense soon and will be replaced by the study of human spirituality. A postmodern mystic is telling you what is coming now. This is the reason why the subtitle of my new 'so called book' is; **the emergence of global spirituality.** See; now you know Prof.

"I challenge his writing ability, his intellectual understanding of issues, plagiarism, his ability to advance his argument without regagitating what other people are saying"

Knowledge is freely available Prof. Moreover; You Guys are Executives in a reputable bank! Is it really fair to waste my time on people employed by the *same bank*, who use this type of communication? I'm really embarrassed! I'm even tempted to close my business and personal accounts, just out of this type of embarrassing level of discourse from people who are supposed to be professionals in our banking industry. I'm serious about this. I am not an English man but I try to write some coherent English. If I can do it, you can do it also. It takes a bit of diligence and use of your spelling check on your pc (I even missed Church on Sunday because of this!)

I burnt the midnight oil over many days preparing this response to you. I reflected, meditated, prayed, and contemplated over what I have written here. I thought a lot about it. I read it over and over again to myself. I did it out of respect to you and expect you to do the same to me (or any other person). Your responses are truly embarrassing Prof! Kenneth Copeland, as you know, for all his 'nonsense' theology of prosperity, at least taught me to do my ministry with excellence. I try hard guys! I heed Paul's advice to Timothy - "for the sake your own salvation and the salvation of those who hear you" - said Paul. I think you can benchmark at least. I can't even post this on my website. What will my emerging companions say? I try hard! - Even in my "so called books" as you define them. With which books do you compare these "so called books"? They are self-published, self-edited, and you get them for free. At least, I deserve some credit. Why are you guys so unable to respond to the main issues raised in the "so called books?"

Are you unable to let the main issue be the main issue? (I deliberately shied away from discussing the contents) Why resort to ridicule? I am an Evangelical because Evangelicalism is the huge ship I gained my consciousness in. I consider it my calling. To some, I am not a Pastor and to some I am. I still call myself a Pastor because I am raising an army on the internet. **My Church**; where atheists, agnostics, irreligious, non-religious, doubtful, groaning thinking, tired, angry, bitter, etc people, are welcome, is growing. Jesus said; **Come ye all!** Not some of you all! **But ye all!** I am called to Pastor these people. Heal the wounds that religion has inflicted on them. I am an Evangelical at heart because I cannot tolerate and endure any other religion. The only religion I can endure is the one I am in. The only religion I have been called to speak sense and myth to, is this one.

Martin Luther, the one Thabo is so fascinated about, was expelled from the Catholic Church. He did not just leave on his own. I am still in the evangelical Church because I feel led to stay. There is that small voice deep inside of me that keeps on whispering...stay...stay....stay...just wait upon The Lord. As I wait, I work - I keep on working for the prosperity of Babylon. I plant trees, build, and plant vineyards, just like the Jews did in the real Babylon. Like Habakkuk - I just keep on writing...and writing...emails like these...just like Paul...from my prison...my post evangelical wilderness! Maybe this email will form part of the New Bible two thousand years from today, who knows Prof? Just joking! Imagine science students reading an epistle from **Prophet Muzi to Professor Bungane**; wondering what was happening there; Similar to Paul; asking Philemon to give special favours for his slave brother, Onesimus. Did you notice that Paul says, the condition of 'homosexuality' (where the word fits) is punishment from God Prof? Maybe Paul was just writing the letter in jest, like I'm doing. Maybe, He did not envisage it being part of the canon.

So, no one should tell us Emergent's; Martin Luther left; you guys should also leave us alone. NO! God won't let us leave! Like Moses, we will strive until the last Evangelical has left Egypt. There is no Pope to expel us (sorry Prof. can't give the divorce papers to you, I need more credentials). Martin Luther did not think about these problems. The Evangelical - Protestant Movement is now like it was in Israel, when there was no King; **"everyone is doing what is right in his own eyes"**. People can just easily request divorce papers in the name of the Kingdom! PHEW! Prof! I reiterate my desire to create space and a comfortable engaging environment for Evangelicals.

9. MY KAIROS QUEST!

KE NAKO! Like Jesus Christ of Nazareth; Like St Paul and the apostles. Like Augustine, Jerome, Luther, etc. I have heard the inner small voice, not only through the Bible but through the medium used by the Apostles and bible writers - before the Bible came into written form. The same source of Biblical writers is my source. This is why I have a problem with the Bible; for the reason that Paul had a problem with Peter. Just as the Biblical writers saw imperfectly as in a cloudy mirror; I see better now; though it is still in a cloudy mirror. I see what they were seeing through a better mirror, though it is still cloudy. All I know is that like Esther; I was raised for this moment! My time has come. Like them, I have a quest to follow, an inner voice to obey. Please understand my quest. Like mythical Shadrack, Meshach, and A bad Negro; **I refuse to bow to an idol!**

Like Abraham and the Patriarchs after him, I was called to leave the Gods of my tribe (just found out that my tribe has so many idols, looking from outside), I have been called out of the Gods of men, Gods that can be defined by human beings, Gods that can be contained in holy books (including the Christian God). I have been called to an unknown world inhabited by, how can I say it? The un-nameable [&^%R&\\$^E@EEW\)\(*&^%\\$](#) un-definable, unknown 'God beyond' the known Gods. **The God beyond God**, that has become the desire of my heart every waking moment of my life, since the fateful day of 17 August 2007. I often feel like my life has been stolen away from me. The world is a foreign place to me. It is like exile to me. I then utter Paul's words, **"It is no longer I that lives!"** I fully understand that it is still a problem to many Evangelicals that this quest began with Richard Dawkins' book. I fully understand how my atheism disgusts traditional Christians. I wish I was in control of moments. I would definitely do things the Christian way. I fully understand the fears within Christianity.

What is emerging is totally foreign to the Christian tradition. It is totally unknown to Christians. Like Abraham, brothers and sisters, we all have to leave a world we know to go into a world we do not know. I know it is a scary. Thomas a' Kempis once said; **"If you fancy that you know many things, and fairly understand them; remember that the things you do not know are more than those you know"**. I understand the fears! This is totally beyond my control. I'm sorry about the pain this quest has inflicted on many. My sincere humble apologies, even apologize for the contents of this letter. I know they will definitely rub some people on the wrong side. I still live by the unfolding message from my encounter. Brian McLaren, my other mentor, advised that I should not use my encounter like fundamentalists, when they talk on the Bible. So, I say it - but not like a fundamentalist. One day you will understand what I am trying to communicate; just be patient. It's all about Kairos. I affirm my desire to create space for conversation with my Evangelical brothers and sisters. It is not my intention to create tension inside this ship. Again, I call my brothers and sisters to engage the Emerging church. I am aware of the fears, uncertainty, and venturing in to the unknown. Let us take the exodus and go to a place where we will meet the un-nameable [&^%R&\\$^E@EEW\)\(*&^%\\$](#). I fully understand the fears raging within the Evangelical Movement. **COME WITH ME EVANGELICALS! COME WITH ME ON THIS ROAD LESS TRAVELLED.** Like our mythical Father, Abraham, let us venture into the unknown. Like in mythical Eden,

the universe is today pregnant with a **JESUS EXPLOSION**. It can happen any moment now! The Spirit is hovering over the universe! **A NEW EARTH** is being born. When this **JESUS EXPLOSION** is over; this Religion that Constantine formed in AD 325 (Christianity) will just be a shell of its former self.

The Buddha said, "***If you have a desire; desire - but know that desire will take you through tremendous suffering***". Our Madiba is a perfect example! Madiba desired - his desires brought untold pain to him and his loved ones. Nelson Mandela's long walk to freedom began with a desire. That desire evolved into a long painful journey. Watch out for a desire my brother! Like others before me, I have come to terms and accepted as part of the price of my quest that I will be criticized, misunderstood, crucified, marginalized, and even verbally abused through emails similar to yours. Like them, I know that my quest will lead me to the way of the cross. Like others before me, I know that my quest will always take me through the road less travelled by (that road is now less lonely). Like John the Baptist, I am beginning to enjoy my post-evangelical wilderness (as the late Michael Spencer defined it). Like others before me, I know my quest will lead to the defenders of orthodoxy drawing me to tribunals and charging me with heresy, anti-Christ, deceiver, son of Satan etc. Like Martin Luther King, I have seen the Promised Land. As the evolution of this consciousness increases, I expect more pain from my Cain brothers; defenders of the God of yesterday. Like Joseph, I embrace betrayal by my evangelical brothers. I always tell my wife that if I die, I will be killed by an evangelical brother. Like Moses, I feel called to deliver God's people from a paper Pharaoh.

10. CONCLUSION

So, your emails are just like water over a duck (Kenneth Hagin Sr. once said that). Kenneth was once my 'faith' glasses mentor or 'scholar' if you like. I actually welcome all hateful, hurtful negative emails from religious zealots. I welcome them more than I welcome the positive ones, as they strengthen my muscles for the way forward. Prof, you were placed by God in the role you are playing. You are playing your role (except for the pathetic English) very well. Thank you for being what you were created to be. Your emails have opened new horizons in my journey. Continue in what you were created to be. Just give me space to be what I was created to be. Have tolerance for me like I have tolerance for you (except for your English).

I have tried to talk strong without being offensive to you or other people. I have tried my utmost best to be **MUZI** and not **Spong**. I have avoided what you have wrongly referred to as, [plagarism](#) (did you mean plagiarism?) I'm interested in getting more information about your tertiary institution. You have been cheated. I say this with due respect. If you happy and at peace about responding, then respond. You do not have to if you do not feel like.

Lastly, yesterday (Sunday) I woke at 2:30 am like I have been doing for the past seven nights. I worked on this letter again, prayed, meditated, etc. I then went to my place of birth to pick up my Mom. We went to our family gravesites. I did this because I felt led by this small voice. To cut the story short; I thanked my late Father for the company, I established in his honour when He died in 2002. I thanked him for looking after His Company through the Telkom related struggles you mentioned. I told him about the way ahead and let him know I had **NO FEARS**. I told him of what I read (like I always read) on the Sunday Times astrology piece that morning. I then told the universe the desires of my heart from my Father's grave. I opened my hands and accepted what the universe is bringing into my life. I then thanked the African Ancestors from my Father's grave; (they don't mind you know) thanked them for helping Ghana beat the USA on Sat night; asked them to be with Ghana as Ghana moves ahead in the World Cup. **MADLOZI!!! MADLOZI!!! SITHI – GHANA!! GHANA!!!**

Finally, I really mean finally now – I'm a preacher, remember Prof. What if you did not write this letter in this bad English and said the crazy things you said. Would I have been 'led' to respond? Would I have spent my week the way I spent it? Thank you for reviving my quest the way you have done. Look now, at the number of people benefiting from my quest. Did things have to go the way they have gone? Was this pre-destined? Did 'God' plan this before the foundations of the world? I need answers! Let me stop here before I raise more questions. COME ON **24 SEPTEMBER** 2010 – PLEASE! **BRING YOUR PRUDENT SCHOLARSHIP. WE NEED IT!!!**

I AM VERY SORRY FOR THE PAIN THIS LETTER HAS CAUSED YOU (AND EVERYONE ELSE). I know it is going to take you through hell, **alone**. It will thrust you into **your darkest night of your soul**. I wrote it because I needed to. I just felt led (by a small voice) to write. As you come out of **the darkest night of your soul**, from the hell predestined for you, and **you alone** (at least it won't be eternal, you will come out); I advice that you read Professor Richard Dawkins newest book, *The Greatest Show on Earth: evidence for evolution*. I have just finished reading it. It is a real cracker! (Don't forget my commission – Richard). For the next few weeks, take leave of the Bible, take leave of the Christian God. Read the book only. After you have done that, think on what has happened between me and you over the past three years; you will realize that it is just the evolution show playing. Go back to the Bible. You will discover the same evolution show. There is no longer a 'spiritual worldview' or a 'scientific worldview'. There is only one world, one worldview, oneness; called - The Integral Worldview. ALL 'theologies', ALL Spiritualities (including African spirituality) are inside it. Everything we 'see' happening; happens inside one worldview. Listen to the mystic. When you are ready; call me; maybe, just maybe, we can talk about our 'millions' and see how they can be used to advance the New Reformation. Note that I worked on this email for seven days and sleepless nights, invested a lot of thinking, researching, prayer, consulting and reflection to it. I've edited it, worked, re-worked it, and thought, re-thought through a lot on its contents over the past seven days since I received your 'intelligent' email. Please, don't judge it by reading it once only. Please, Read it prayerfully again and again, like I did; before sending it. **I am open to correction by anyone. I may be wrong.** I may be deluded in my assumptions, but I do not think so. - I - do - not - think - so!

Blessings to you, and all who endured or enjoyed reading my extra long email!

(Read on! ENJOY!)

Warmest regards in Christ

Muzi Cindi

info@muzicindi.net

+27825457227

"Only when we no longer fear, do we begin to LIVE & LOVE like Jesus!"

www.muzicindi.net

PS

Frank Viola once sent us the "BUT SOME" phenomenon that we read about in the Lord's ministry. If you don't know what the BUT SOME phenomenon is, here's a preview:

Luke 11:14-15 - And he was casting out a devil, and it was dumb. And it came to pass, when the devil was gone out, the dumb spoke; and the people wondered. *BUT SOME* of them said, "He casts out devils through Beelzebub the chief of the devils."

John 11:45-46 - Then many of the Jews which came to Mary, and had seen the things which Jesus did, believed on him. *BUT SOME* of them went their ways to the Pharisees, and told them what things Jesus had done.

Matt. 28:17 - And when they saw him [Jesus Christ], they worshipped him: *BUT SOME* doubted.

And again:

Luke 23:5 - And they were the more fierce, saying, *He stirs up the people* (sounds like Muzi), teaching throughout all Jewry, beginning from Galilee to this place.

A word of encouragement to anyone who takes a stand against traditional thinking: Some will appreciate it; *BUT SOME* will not. And whether you like it or not, there's an excellent chance that you may find yourself *stirring up the people*. Jesus was accepted by some, *BUT SOME* rejected him. Luther's Reformation was accepted by some, *BUT SOME* rejected it. Likewise, this New Reformation will be accepted by some, *BUT SOME* will reject it! **IT IS A FACT OF LIFE!**

It seems to be written in the bloodstream of the universe. I gave this info to accommodate the BUT SOME who are still opposing the New Reformation!

Shalom

Dear Muzi

Hawu what happened to me, you decided to exclude me from your mailing list, is it because you are afraid that the views I have against you will find resonance in the minds of so many people that you try to convict with your theories....hahaha I am back...unfortunately I did not make it to the invite of your anniversary where this nonsense was propagated, I was not aware of the June 16 events that you organised Muzi.

Let me say what I said long time ago when you told me about your Vision in your bathroom. Prudent scholars like myself will tell you that it is a criminal offence to use some ones words as if they are yours, it is called plagiarism, now this is what you said in your response to Oliva **mythical truth intended to help us mediate meaning in a vast and uncertain world.** Muzi these are not your words but Karl Marx and later used by Engels...now you should have referenced these words to indicate to us that you took them from somewhere. And I can tell you that because you copy and paste lots of information from other books and put them in your own "so called book", you do not even know who said what and when and end up gives us an impression that all these things you tell us they are coming from you...NO!!! Muzi tell the truth and shame the devil...there is nothing thus far that you are telling is new, it is all the collection of other people's ideas and you crammed them, internalized them and wrote that so called book of yours.

I am still waiting for Muzi to come out with something very convincing, not quoting Bishop Sponge and all other homosexual inclined Bishop as authority used to dismiss the Gospel of Jesus Christ, it is time that we expose Muzi for what he is now...we owe it to our kids...to our generation... we cannot sit back and allowed to be fed by Muzi and use such events to start this so called movements.

I blame some of our pastors for not speaking up against this thing, this is no time to entertain this and laugh about it in corridors, Muzi is attacking the real basis of our faith and it is not a matter of laughing this is serious, and we need to stand up with anger but show love to a person of Muzi.

But until Muzi becomes himself and not a carbon copy of Sponge I will be rude in my discussions with him, because if you imitate someone you rob us what God has intended for you, do not live the life of Sponge Muzi, South Africa needs Muzi, that we first met when in the 1980s, though you say you were confused, our God was not confused when He presented that Muzi in the 1980s, this is a Muzi we know and this is a Muzi we want.

I deliberately shied away from discussing the contents as there is nothing new that Muzi is propagating except that he cuts and paste other people's ideas and present them as his, that is why the old man Maphalala sometimes get confused and say things that incongruent with himself, let us not blame the old man, we need to blame Muzi who is funding the old man and poor Maphalala can never be able to say anything wrong against Muzi, the same thing Muzi is accusing other pastors that they are afraid to agree with him in public because they will loose their tithe, it is the same thing that he is doing to the Old Man who I still believe was a catalyst and respected man in the Christian Faith, this Christian today he says he hates....Thina we still love this Old Man and Respect Him but we will forever blame Muzi for doing this to this respected man

Bungane Radebe

Provincial Executive - Gauteng East

FNB Branch Banking

Eastgate Office Park, Block A, Upper Level, Bruma

Tel (011) 601 9011, Fax (011) 601 9031

e-mail bradebe1@fnb.co.za

Dear Muzi

I said and will say this again, your emerging church theory is nonexistent to me and it will never be. I hope your conviction of being the Martin Luther of that church will soon fade away and you will realize the reality that the church of Christ has been in existence since AD and it has passed all this so called new truth as per you latest findings that are in actual fact not new. As Christ himself said that he will build his church and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it be rest assured this will remain. The critic of your pages put together and defined as a book, by Vivian were actually spot on, and it will be interesting if at some point you will respond step by step on his views on your findings.

I was actually shocked that Dr Maphalala would utter statements as strong as I hate Christianity purely on the basis of his discomfort with presentation and the fact that people are not given opportunities to ask question, interesting observation from my site also, since you also observed other things. Does people behavior normally change the content and character of a belief systems? Perhaps this where I need to be helped.

It's unfortunate that my question is still not answered, how does your so called new discoveries nullifies the Lordship of Christ? I will really appreciate an answer on this one.

I'm really not sure of the first part of your email about the truth and security that your referring to, we will leave this to Men of God to respond because I really find your assertion extremely disturbing. Am I reading this write that you are implying that because pastors are depended on their churches for livelihood therefore their afraid to accept your so called truth in public?

Just to put what I'm saying in simple form is that your discoveries are not new at all and lot of other people are not perplexed by them. All I know is that I was blind but now I see

Regards
Thabo

From: Radebe, Bungane [mailto:BRadebe1@fnb.co.za]
Sent: 23 October 2008 09:34 AM
To: alexander@thefield.co.za; muzicindi@vodamail.co.za
Subject: Speaking my mind

Sir

I must confess that I thank God that we still have Man of God like you who will not be intimidated by people who suddenly believe that just because they have few hundred rands and have access to internet that then gives them the licence to do what Muzi is doing, I told Muzi that he is suffering from what some of us suffered from some 20 years ago, that is NAKS, Newly Acquired Knowledge Syndrome. It is dangerous to acquire new information once you have reached the age above 40, because it makes you behave like a kid. Your response to Muzi is exactly the same thing I told him see the attached documents and the e-mail below for you to read. I challenged his writing ability, his intellectual understanding of issues, plagiarism, his ability to advance his argument without regagitating what other people are saying. I challenged him to read other scholars.

Up until today I do not know what is it that Muzi is advancing, that is why in my last e-mail I arrived at the same conclusion as you, that is to cease to discuss with Muzi these things because I am failing to locate him within a particular position of his belief. I challenged Muzi at any given point in time in Public or Private to expose how bankrupt is his argument. I do not hate Muzi, he does not hate me, but he knows that I hate his views and I dare challenge him to discuss this with him in a public platform and I am still waiting for the response.

Though your meeting with Muzi is said to be a private meeting of friends, I still want to understand Muzi's view face to face and if Muzi will agree I would want to be part of that meeting so that I can have a clear sense of that which he is advancing.

Your response to Muzi based on his so called book is very correct and relevant, see my comments I sent to him some months ago...

Muzi baba advise me when you are in Johannesburg so that we have these discussions face to face not via e-mails...

Bungane Radebe
Chief Knowledge Officer

FNB Branch Banking
2nd Floor, 2 First Place, BankCity
Cnr Simmonds and Pritchard Streets
Johannesburg
2000

Tel (011) 352 3413, Fax (011) 352 0018
e-mail bradebe1@fnb.co.za

Thanks Bungane for your response to Muzi and my discussion. I appreciate your clear reply to Muzi in your attached document.

Bungane, because Muzi sent his long reply to me to the list of people in the email you received from me, it will be correct for you to send this email of yours, with your attachment, to the same list of people. This is in keeping with Muzi's approach of a "conversation" (or public debate, which he initiated in sending it to this list of people), and it will make the others see that I am not the only one who disagrees with the position that Muzi has taken.

In terms of my meeting with Muzi (and Trevor) on Monday morning, I appreciate your request to be part of the meeting, but I think I need to keep it private as was originally agreed with Muzi.

My suggestion back to you—and especially addressed to Muzi—is that we arrange a meeting with Muzi and those in the list he emailed, who are able to make, to attend a meeting where we further discuss/debate his views with him. How does that sound? We could take up your invitation to Muzi, to call a meeting of interested parties to publicly debate the issues that Muzi has raised, and that you set it up and sponsor the meeting. How do you and Muzi feel about that?

Regards,

Alexander

"Live a Life of Love, just as Jesus loved us..."

Sir

Thank you for your response, you will notice that me and Muzi hold no punches when we debate, we tell it as is and sometime people may misintepret our engagement as if we hate each other with Muzi with a passion and that is far from the truth, it is just that I disrespect his views but have high respect for Muzi as a person, businessman and a fellow brother.

On your suggestions of a public debate I agree with your point we need to debate these things in a public forum, I will fund that process my challenge though is that I do not have the capacity to arrange that forum, if we can delegate that to someone to run with the logistics and I will fund that from the monetary point of view.

I do not believe in fate I believe that certain things happen for a reason and the fact that God used Muzi so that me and you can engage it is God's divine and timely intervention, we may need to meet for coffee so that we can have a concerted and coherent strategy on how do we counter these wrong teaching, in politics we used counter-revolutionary words to define such foreign views. We as sober Christian need to lead these discussions instead of being reactionary, we need to be proactive in our approach. God has placed this in my heart and I need people who understand these issues to form a core thinking tank and be on the offensive and defend our Gospel.

Though God does not need to be defended but I think we owe it to our Children to warn them agains these teaching, it will be a travesty of justice if Muzi can be able to reach my kids first with these teachings before me and you can do that, we owe it to our Kids, I do not want one day my 11 year daughter or my 5 year boy come to me and tell me about a church that speaks Muzi's language.

We need to preach the Gospel of Jesus without fear or compromise, at the same time we need to teach our kids, community, church members about the wrongs the former presenters of Gospel committed, so that we separate the wrong presentation of the Gospel by innocent early Christian from the Content and truthfulness of our belief.

We should not shy away that when I got Born Again in 1986 there were serious wrong teachings that were made in the name of the Gospel by people like Muzi and others, where people were lazy to work and were convinced that by only claiming things in the spiritual realm they will manifest themselves in the physical realm. I remember we will physically go to BMW dealerships, pray and claim those BMWs in the name of Jesus that they were ours, we will fast and sprinkle the blood of Jesus around those cars and confess that they were ours.

The point I making is that Muzi might be having a very small point in his argument, and we need to address those so that people who are unsuspecting can then be able to know what is true and what is not.

My apology for this long response, to answer your question yes God has blessed me with millions I can fund that forum for public debate we need to talk.

Regards

Bungane Radebe
Chief Knowledge Officer

FNB Branch Banking
2nd Floor, 2 First Place, BankCity
Cnr Simmonds and Pritchard Streets
Johannesburg
2000
Tel (011) 352 3413, Fax (011) 352 0018
e-mail bradebe1@fnb.co.za

Faseeha Bismilla
Personal Assistant

Tel (011) 371 5631, Fax (011) 352 0018, Cell 083 418 0256
e-mail fbismilla@fnb.co.za

First National Bank - a division of FirstRand Bank Limited.
An Authorised Financial Services Provider. (NCRCP20)

Bungane
Sent: 12 September 2008 02:28 PM
To: 'Muzi Cindi'
Subject: RE: Here's more stuff - awaiting your comments

Baba, now me and you will find each other and we need to continue to debate at least for now, that is why it is important to engage intensely in order to truly understand each other.

Once again you accuse me of name calling but you are also guilty of that, all I am doing Muzi is to oppose your views, if my opposing your views is tantamount to be called the Fundamentalist then I will gladly take that title, but that will not deter me from opposing your views.

When I am asking that you need to adopt a scholarly view I do not mean that you must tell me the string of books you are reading all I was asking is that when you read a book by Richard or Spong, try to get other scholars who differ with Richard or Spong hear their views and then make your case. I said it to you before you can understand the views by Richard on this book you hold so dearly, you must first read the book by Stephen Unwin then you will locate his book in that particular context because Richard is responding to certain things that Stephen alleges in his book. If you love Spong and I have no problem with Spong except that he is homosexual the point you violent dispute, but if you love Spong you need also to read Dr Jonathan D Sarfati paper titled "What is wrong with Bishop Spong", have both views that is what I mean by

Scholarly review principle. I can read all the books that you ask me to read but if they propagate the similar view that is not called scholarly review it is called getting the same information from different writers with the same mind, that is called the form not the content. All your writers including Richard they do not differ on the content, they only differ in the form. You cannot read the book of Matthew and when we ask you what other contrary view you read then you quote the book of Mark, John, Luke, that is not a scholarly review that is referencing of writers who agree with your proposition. Now I think I have made myself very clear as to what I mean by scholarly review. By the way I have read all your e-mails and attachment that is why I conclude that the views are the same but the writers are not the same. This is a universally acceptable principle.

On the issue of science that which you accuse me of, I do not know why you consider it irrelevant, once again it tells me that conceptually we have not found each other on the substance of the debate. Science is the first body of evidence that has created these uncertainties around the Universe, God, Big Bang theories etc, to refuse to bring science in this equation is like arguing on the baseless platform, Richard himself using Science as a point of departure, Spong uses science as point of departure as these are the basis to debate or reject the concept of a Super Natural Being. Your insistance that science is irrelevant in this matter makes some of us who are trying to be student of philosophy find it very difficult to debate with you because you force us to debate on the form rather than the content and the context of the subject matter.

You might have realised in my interaction with you baba is that I am struggling to locate you within a particular proposition of your argument, I have deliberately steered away to debate with you on what you believe in because I am up to today struggle to find out what is it that you are advancing. You started by telling me how ignorant I am on this revolution that is taking place in the world, you now want me to debate about the Concept Of God. Up to today Muzi there is no universally acceptable definition of God, there scientists have been telling us that, the Atheist are telling us, the Naturalist are telling us that and the Bible told us that long time ago, " My thoughts are Higher than Thoughts" (My apology for quoting the God of the Old Testament) now wena Muzi just because you were liberated in 2007 you now claim you want to define this God and debate the concept and tell us that this is a GOD and this is not a GOD, how cheek of you to do that when everyone else have failed, now you will tell me that I am a Fundamentalist, no I refuse baba, I just refuse. You yourself say so in your book that you do not claim to possess all the truth about God but you then think that just because you have access to internet then you can solve the problem and define God for us, no Muzi that is crazy. Muzi our God is Big we cannot fathom Him. Once again I have not entered the debate about God the Concept, I have ceased long time ago, what I am waiting is for the Scientist to prove to me without the shadow of doubt that there is NO GOD then I will enter this debate, until then allow me to remain Ignorant of Bishop Spong, Richard you know why they will not add to the already known view, but I do accept that they will add to other people who do not know what they seek.

The difference between me and you is that I accept the fact that this God is Big and Huge and even if I try I will not understand Him that is why I will continue to worship Him, you want to try hard to understand this God and in your failure to get answers then you want to compartmentalise Him and put Him in a Box and take that you want and live that you do not want, that does not make your proposition to be correct and mine to be wrong, it is just that you decide to make a conclusion. And that process is hardly consistent with a scientific approach.

I know by now baba you are so determined to refer to me as this emotional crazy Fundamentalist, let me try and define for you what Paul Enns define as Fundamentalist as I realise that you use this word very lightly which is dangerous, now according to Paul Enns he defines Fundamentalism as a terminology used to "identify one holding to the five fundamentals of the faith adopted by the General assembly of the Presbyterian Church of the USA in 1910, these five fundamentals were the miracles of Christ, the virgin birth of Christ, the substitutionary atonement of Christ, the bodily resurrection of Christ and the inspiration of the Scriptures". This is a definition of a Fundamentalist, now please ensure that when you use this word you use it in a correct concept.

Now for me to try and put the proposition of the debate between me and you and we are getting closer. I need to prepare myself to debate but based on this 5 Fundamentalist proposition which one do you dismiss because that should form the basis of our discussion.

- Miracles of Christ
- Virgin Birth of Christ
- Atonement of Christ

- Bodily Resurrection of Christ
- Inspiration of the Scriptures

The reason why I now narrow down this interaction to this 5 points is that in your last e-mail you said you believe in the God of Christ/Jesus say Abba Father, now help me the God of Christ you want to bow to which one of the 5 fundamentalist view about that God and Christ you dismiss and which one you subscribe to, this will help to know what is Muzi's view on this matter now I do not want what you have been telling about Spong or Richard, I want Muzi now to tell me, this will then form the basis of our debate, may be after your clarification I will now seriously enter the core debate of your book and the Concept of God. Let me warn depending on your answer you may find out that you are also a Fundamentalist.

Now Nkokheli by now I think there is a respect from both side proving that I try to read all sorts of things coming away and I have a great respect of your quest and ability to engage at this level there are very few Christians Muzi who can debate at this level and I must thank you that indeed you have made me think on countless occasion with your courage of advancing what many people are afraid to debate.

Me and you will eventually find each other so that as we debate we debate on the similar basis. Your Vatican trip is it a holiday visit or a group of research student going to conduct a research about all these issues, if this is what you will be doing I await your findings but if it is only a holiday tourist trip to Vatican please do not quote your findings as the basis to advance your argument, by now you should know me by now how strict I am when people do a shabby job then wants to convince us that this is well researched, but on a more lighter note do enjoy your trip I have always wanted to visit that city.

About Bishop TD Jakes, like all of us including myself he is a human being not immune from the pressures of the world, he is not an authority on the matters of God, he is just a talented preacher who I have great respect, and that respect will cease if he no longer subscribe to that which I hold so dear, even if God Himself can come back and tell me that you know what I was joking whatever you thought about ME is not TRUE, I will struggle to believe that Muzi, so my conviction is not based on what people are saying or doing it is based on my conviction about that which I know what God has done for me, it is not a theology, it is not the religion it is called belief. You call it experience I may agree but I do not want to limit this God only to my limited experience He is bigger than that

Bungane Radebe

Chief Knowledge Officer

FNB Branch Banking

2nd Floor, 2 First Place, BankCity
Cnr Simmonds and Pritchard Streets

Johannesburg

2000

Tel (011) 352 3413, Fax (011) 352 0018

e-mail bradebe1@fnb.co.za

Faseeha Bismilla

Personal Assistant

Tel (011) 371 5631, Fax (011) 352 0018, Cell 083 418 0256

e-mail fbismilla@fnb.co.za